

REMARKS

In the Office Action the Examiner rejected claims 1-5, 7-16, and 18-20 under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being obvious and allowed claims 6 and 17. Claims 6-10, 14, 15, and 17-20 remain in the application.

Because the rejected claims have been either canceled or amended to depend on an allowed claim, all claims in the application should be in condition for allowance.

The Office Action contains numerous statements characterizing the claims, the Specification, and the prior art. Regardless of whether such statements are addressed by Applicant, Applicant refuses to subscribe to any of these statements, unless expressly indicated by Applicant.

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein. No amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim, unless Applicant has argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references.


Applicants believe the application is in condition for allowance which action is respectfully solicited. Please contact the below-signed if there are any issues regarding this communication or otherwise concerning the current application.

Respectfully submitted,

## SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Freescale Semiconductor, Inc.  
Law Department

Customer Number: 23125

By:   
James L. Clingan, Jr.  
Attorney of Record  
Reg. No.: 30,163  
Telephone: (512) 996-6839  
Fax No.: (512) 996-6854